

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,764	11/21/2003	Kenneth B. Wagener	5853-340	1454
30448	7590 02/07/2006		EXAMINER	
AKERMAN	N SENTERFITT		PENG, KU	O LIANG
P.O. BOX 3	188			
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/718,764	WAGENER ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kuo-Liang Peng	1712		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ir Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/1/	05 Amendment.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 32-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-31 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 32-36 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 32 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The Applicants' amendment filed on December 1, 2005 was received.

  Claims 1-31 are withdrawn. Claims 32-36 are added. Now, Claims 32-36 are pending for consideration.
- 2. Claim objection(s) in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 052805) is/are moot because the claims involved have been withdrawn.
- 3. Claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 112 in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 052805) is/are moot because the claims involved have been withdrawn.
- 4. Claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 103 in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 052805) is/are moot because the claims involved have been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicants are advised to **delete** Claims 1-6 and 9-12.
- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action (Paper No. 052805).

### Claim Objections

7. Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In Claim 32 (line 2-3), some of the subscripts are not legible.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. Claims 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 recites the limitations "R", "R"", "r" and "s" in lines 1 and 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

In Claim 33 (line 2), it is not clear as to what "x" refers to.

In Claim 34 (line 1), "claim 10" causes confusion because Claim 10 has been withdrawn.

Claim 34 recites the limitation "R" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.

In Claim 35 (line 1), "claim 9" causes confusion because Claim 9 has been withdrawn.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/718,764

Art Unit: 1712

Claim 35 recites the limitations "R", "o" and "s" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

In Claim 36 (lines 2-3), it is not clear as to what "n", "m", "o" and "p" refer to.

9. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

10. Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Examiner is not able to find the basis for the instant claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yajima600 (US 4 220 600).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,764

Art Unit: 1712

Yajima600 discloses a poly(carbosilane-co-carbosiloxane) having a latent hydrogen group. Note that the Si-H and/or Si(H)<sub>2</sub> groups at the chain ends are crosslinkable groups. (col. 10, line 52 to col. 11, line 16)

12. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshitake (US 6 184 407).

Yoshitake discloses a poly(carbosilane-co-carbosiloxane) having a latent methoxy group. Note that the Si-H, Si-OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub> or Si-glycidoxypropyl groups at chain ends are crosslinkable groups. (col. 5-col. 6 and col. 12, lines 35-40)

13. Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brezezinska (Journal of Polymer Science, Part A: Polymer Chemistry, vol. 38 (2000), 1544-1550).

Brezezinska discloses a poly(carbosilane-co-carbosiloxane) by copolymerizing the corresponding carbosilane and carbosiloxane precursors, which has a latent methoxy group. Note that compound 5 should possess alkenyl groups at the chain ends because of the acyclic diene metathesis method used for preparing the copolymer, which are crosslinkable groups. Furthermore, the methoxy groups can be at the chain ends, which can be considered as crosslinkable

Application/Control Number: 10/718,764 Page 6

Art Unit: 1712

groups too. (Figure 2) Furthermore, a copolymer having chlorine latent reactive group can also be prepared by using similar technique. (page 1549, right column, last paragraph)

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,764 Page 7

Art Unit: 1712

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from

8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on

(571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an

application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp

February 3, 2006

Kuo-Liang Peng Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712